

**From:**

**Sent:**

**To:**

**Subject:** Executive Field Trip Prep  
**Location:** Microsoft Teams Meeting  
**Start:** 6/29/2021 8:30:00 AM  
**End:** 6/29/2021 9:30:00 AM  
**Recurrence:** (none)  
**Meeting Status:** Meeting organized

**Required Attendees:**

**Optional Attendees:**

#### Group 1

Recently completed undergrounding projects – just 1 or 2. The mileage may not be long. Focus is to understand.

#### Projects:

1. 35103325- CWSP - MORAGA 1102 & 1104 – OCB (PM OWNER [REDACTED])
  - a. What was the cycle time of the project ?
    - i. Estimating Began 8/28/19-[REDACTED] Completed 4/14/2020
  - b. What were the dependencies on the project, and how did it impact the timely execution of the project ?
    - i. Challenging work was on PG&E property at/near Substation.
    - ii. Creek crossing required additional bio/enviro review... private property.
    - iii. Veg took two full weeks to complete.
2. 35031662- CWSP - LOS GATOS 1106 - [REDACTED] - PHASE 2.1 (PM OWNER [REDACTED])
  - a. What was the cycle time of the project ?
    - i. Estimating Began 10/23/2018-[REDACTED] Completed 3/25/2020
    - ii. No Land ownership issues trenching, all in 'franchise'.
  - b. What were the dependencies on the project, and how did it impact the timely execution of the project ?
    - o Land Ownership Negotiations
    - o State Agency Coordination

#### Group 2

Undergrounding projects that have completed Estimating but are in the Dependency Clearing Stage – Do not need to be high risk projects. We pull from the pool of projects that we do have. Again 1 – 2 examples.

#### Projects:

1. 35145003- PSPS CWSP - MORAGA 1103 – CB (PM OWNER [REDACTED])
  - a. Project was removed from the work plan Q4, 2020, and re-implemented into the work plan June, 2021.
  - b. Permit Dependencies;
    - i. Town of Moraga
    - ii. City of Orinda
  - c. Project is nearly ready to release to construction
1. 35145001-PSPS - CLAYTON 2215 – CB (PM OWNER [REDACTED])
  - a. Project was removed from the work plan Q4, 2020, and re-implemented into the work plan June, 2021.
  - b. Permit Dependencies;

- i. Contra Costa County
  - ii. Walnut Creek
  - iii. City of Concord
- c. Land Dependencies;
  - i. One of three easements gained
- d. Environmental Dependencies;
  - i. ERTC expected after Land easements cleared

Focus is to understand

- What is the process currently used to clear the dependencies related to (other dependencies or requirements that have newly been put in place)
  - Land Ownership Negotiations
  - State Agency Coordination
- See the same tab as above.

Group 3

Undergrounding projects in the high risk area that are in estimating – Again 1 – 2.

Focus is to understand

Projects;

1. 35219093- CWSP - TIDEWATER 210614072 PH 1.1 (PM OWNER- [REDACTED])
2. 35231543- CWSP - TIDEWATER 210614072 PH 1.2 (PM OWNER- [REDACTED])
  - What to the best of our knowledge are the challenges we expect to fact regarding (everyone to understand that this is a bit speculative, but given the location of the project what can we anticipate)
    - Land Ownership Negotiations
    - State Agency Coordination
  - See the “Top 20” tab. These circuits and zones were selected by [REDACTED] I isolated it down to the projects. I would start with Middletown under [REDACTED]
  - You could ask all the PMs on the list if they have anything as unique as Bucks Creek, but frankly I wonder if we weren’t clear enough that Buck’s Creek is an outlier as far as dependency complication goes.
  - Middletown is a much better cross section of our work in my opinion.
  - Wish I could have made more progress on this for you but I ran out of time.

---

## Microsoft Teams meeting

**Join on your computer or mobile app**

[Click here to join the meeting](#)

**Or call in (audio only)**

[REDACTED]

[Find a local number](#) | [Reset PIN](#)

Need Help with Teams? Click on the Help option in this invite to connect you directly to our Teams at PG&E Training site!

[Learn More](#) | [Help](#) | [Meeting options](#)

